## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Anchorage Field Office

## CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CX) FORM

CX No.	: AK-040-CX00-015	*Lease/Serial/Case File No.: AA-082251 (2920) *
Propose	d Action Title/Types: Land Use Permit to place statio	nary tower on public lands.
Location	n of Proposed Action: Section 28, T. 22 N., R. 60 W.,	Seward Meridian, Alaska
The Ala stational with lar equipme	ry tower will require an area approximately 10 feet in ge rocks. While the structure is not permanent, it will	se permit to place a stationary tower on public lands. The diameter and will be 20 feet high. The tower will be anchored I remain on site for the duration of the study. Electronic te will be accessed by helicopter which may require clearing of
telemetri is contri	ry study. The study is a cooperative effort with the Na	the Yukon River. It will be used in a chinook salmon radio ational Marine Fishery Service. The Bureau of Land Management rt. The purpose of this study is to identify stock composition, to the drainage.
	ar between June 1 and July 15, 60 chinook salmon will try of a full scale study. If successful the full scale study.	Il be captured and radio tagged in an attempt to determine the dy will be implemented in 2001 and 2002.
Applica	nt (if any): Alaska Department of Fish and Game	
This Pro	PART I - PLAN CO	NFORMANCE REVIEW
		. soddiwest Will
	an Approved: November 25, 1981	h 4kin mlan (42 CED 1610 5 DI M MC 1617 2)
	posed Action has been reviewed for conformance with	1 this plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3).
Remark	KS:	
	PART II - N	NEPA REVIEW
A.	Categorical Exclusion Review. This Proposed Action qualifies as a categorical excl 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4.(19).	usion under 516 DM 2, Appendix 1 (insert CX number) or
В.		
		c characteristics, historic or cultural resources, park, as, wild or scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, recologically significant or critical areas,

## CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CX) FORM (Cont'd.) CX No.: AK-040-CX00-015

		YES	NO
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects.		_X_
4.	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique		
	or unknown environmental risks.		_X_
5.	Establish a precedent for future actions or represent a decision in principle about future		
	actions with potentially significant environmental effects.		_ <u>X</u> _
6.	Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significan	t	
	environmental effects.		<u>X</u>
7.	Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of		
	Historic Places.		<u>X</u>
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or		
	Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated critical habitat for these species.		<u>X</u> _
9.	Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive		
	Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.		<u>X</u>
10.	Threaten to violate a Federal, State, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the		
	protection of the environment.		<u>X</u>
Remarks:			
Preparer(s):	*Date:		
	ren Collie) * (06-01-00)		
	*		
	PART III - DECISION		
conformance w	this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project the approved land use plan and that no further environmental analysis is required. It is my deciproject, as described, with the mitigation measures either identified below or stipulation(s) attached	ision to	case
	sures/Other Remarks: To minimize disturbance to potential peregrine nesting areas, helicopter ac flight path inland and perpendicular to the river.	cess sho	uld
Remarks:			
Authorized Offi	cial *Date		
	ter J. Ditton, Acting * (06-05-00)		
75/10	* (00-05-00)		
-			